arXiv:1402.6137v1 [math.DG] 25 Feb 2014

ON A CLASS OF PARACONTACT METRIC 3-MANIFOLDS

K. SRIVASTAVA* AND S. K. SRIVASTAVA**

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to classify paracontact metric 3-manifolds M^3 such that the Ricci operator S commutes with the endomorhism ϕ of its tangent bundle $\Gamma(TM^3)$.

1. Introduction

Almost paracontact metric manifolds are the well known illustrations of almost para-CR manifolds. In [7] Kaneyuki and Konzai defined the almost paracontact structure on pseudo-Riemannian manifold M^{2n+1} and constructed the almost paracomplex structure on $M^{2n+1}\times\mathbb{R}$. Analogous to Blair *et al.*[3] if the paracontact metric manifold $(M^{2n+1},\phi,\xi,\eta,g)$ is η-Einstein we do not have a widespread classification. This paper is organized as follows: In §2 we present some technical apparatus which is needed for further investigations. In §3 we first prove that for any X orthogonal to ξ the function Trl vanishes and the function f defined by $lX=fX$ is constant everywhere on a paracontact metric manifold M^3. We then show that the conditions, (i) the structure is η-Einstein, (ii) Ricci operator S commutes with tensor field ϕ and (iii) ξ belongs to the k-nullity distribution of pseudo-Riemannian metric g are equivalent on M^3. Finally, we prove that the unit torse forming vector field in this manifold with $S\phi=\phi S$ is concircular in §4.

2. Preliminaries

A C^∞ smooth manifold M^{2n+1} of dimension $(2n+1)$, is said to have triplet $(\phi,\xi,\eta)-$structure, if it admits a $(1,1)$ tensor field ϕ, a unique vector field ξ called the characteristic vector field or Reeb vector field and a contact form η satisfying:

$$\phi^2=I-\eta\otimes\xi \text{ and } \eta(\xi)=1 \tag{2.1}$$

where I is the identity transformation. The endomorphism ϕ induces an almost paracomplex structure on each fibre of $D=ker(\eta)$, the contact subbundle that is the eigen distributions $D^{\pm1}$ corresponding to the characteristics values ±1 of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D15, 53C25.
Key words and phrases. Almost paracontact metric manifold, curvature, Einstein manifold.

ϕ have equal dimension n.
From the equation (2.1), it can be easily deduce that

$$\phi\xi = 0, \qquad \eta o\phi = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \text{rank}(\phi) = 2n. \tag{2.2}$$

This triplet structure$-(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ is called an almost paracontact structure and the manifold M^{2n+1} equipped with the $(\phi, \xi, \eta)-$structure is called an almost paracontact manifold [7]. If an almost paracontact manifold admits a pseudo-Riemannian metric [17], g satisfying:

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) \tag{2.3}$$

where signature of g is necessarily $(n+1, n)$ for any vector fields X and Y. Then the quadruple$-(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called an almost paracontact metric structure and the manifold M^{2n+1} equipped with paracontact metric structure is called an almost paracontact metric manifold. With respect to g, η is metrically dual to ξ, that is

$$g(X, \xi) = \eta(X) \tag{2.4}$$

Also, equation (2.3) implies that

$$g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y). \tag{2.5}$$

Note: The above metric g is, of course, not unique.
Further, in addition to the above properties, if the quadruple $-(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ satisfies:

$$d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y),$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M^{2n+1}, then the manifold is called a paracontact metric manifold and the corresponding structure$-(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called a paracontact structure with the associated metric g [17].

Now, for an almost paracontact metric manifold, there always exists a special kind of local pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{X_i, X_{i^*}, \xi\}$; where $X_{i^*} = \phi X$; ξ and X_i's are space-like vector fields and X_{i^*}'s are time-like. Such a basis is called $\phi-$basis. Indicating by L and R, the Lie differentiation operator and the curvature tensor of M^{2n+1} respectively, let us define, $(1, 1)$ type tensor fields h and l, which are symmetric as well, by $h = \frac{1}{2}L_\xi\phi$, $l = R(., \xi)\xi$. The basic properties followed by h and l are:

$$h\xi = 0, \ l\xi = 0, \ \eta \circ h = 0, \ Tr.h = Tr.\phi h = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$h\phi = -\phi h \ (i.e., \ h \text{ anti} - \text{commutes with } \phi). \tag{2.7}$$

Also, $hX = \lambda X \Rightarrow h\phi X = -\lambda\phi X$, *i.e.*, if λ is an eigen value of h with the corresponding eigen vector X, then $-\lambda$ is also an eigenvalue of h corresponding

to the eigen vector ϕX. If S denotes the Ricci operator and ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g, then using the above properties of h and l, we can easily calculate the following formulas for a paracontact metric manifold M^{2n+1}:

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X + \phi h X,\ \nabla_\xi \xi = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

$$\nabla_\xi \phi = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

$$Trl = g(S\xi, \xi) = Trh^2 - 2n. \tag{2.10}$$

$$\phi l \phi + l = 2\left(h^2 - \phi^2\right). \tag{2.11}$$

$$\nabla_\xi h = -\phi - \phi l + h^2 \phi. \tag{2.12}$$

If the Reeb vector field ξ is Killing, *i*.e., equivalently $h = 0$, then the paracontact metric manifold M^{2n+1} is called a K-paracontact manifold [11]. On a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold, since the conformal curvature tensor vanishes identically, therefore the Riemannian curvature tensor R takes the form [3]

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)Z =& g(Y,Z)SX - g(X,Z)SY + g(SY,Z)X \\ & - g(SX,Z)Y - \frac{r}{2}\left\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold and the Ricci operator S is defined by

$$g(SX,Y) = Ric(X,Y). \tag{2.14}$$

3. k-nullity distribution

In contact geometry, the notion of k-nullity distribution is introduced by Tanno (1988, [14]). The k-nullity distribution of a Riemannian manifold (M, g), for a real number k, is a distribution

$$N(k) : p \to N_p(k) = [Z \in \Gamma(T_pM) : R(X,Y)Z = k\left\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\right\}] \tag{3.1}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T_pM)$, where R and $\Gamma(T_pM)$ respectively denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor and the tangent vector space of M^{2n+1} at any point $p \in M$. If the characteristic vector field ξ of a paracontact metric manifold belongs to the k-nullity distribution then the following relation holds [14]

$$R(X,Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y). \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.1. A paracontact metric manifold is said to be η-Einstein [1], if the Ricci operator S can be written in the following form:

$$S = aI + b\eta \otimes \xi, \tag{3.3}$$

where a and b are some functions.

Now we will prove the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M^3(\phi,\xi,\eta,g)$ be a paracontact metric manifold with $\phi S = S\phi$. Then for any $X \in \Gamma(TM^3)$ orthogonal to ξ*

(i) *the function Trl vanishes on M^3 and*
(ii) *the function f defined by $lX = fX$ is constant everywhere on M^3.*

Proof. By virtue of equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.10) and $\phi S = S\phi$, we have

$$S\xi = (Trl)\xi. \tag{3.4}$$

From equation (2.13), using the definition of l and (3.4), we have for any X

$$\begin{aligned} lX =& R(X,\xi)\xi \\ =& g(\xi,\xi)SX - g(X,\xi)S\xi + g(S\xi,\xi)X \\ & -g(SX,\xi)\xi - (r/2)\left(g(\xi,\xi)X - g(X,\xi)\xi\right) \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$lX = SX + (Trl - r/2)\,X + \eta(X)\,(r/2 - 2Trl)\,\xi \tag{3.5}$$

since, $\eta(SX)\xi = \eta(X)(Trl)\xi$. As a matter of fact if at a point $p \in M^3$ there exists $X \in \Gamma(T_pM^3)$ such that $lX = 0$ for $X \neq \xi$, then $l = 0$ at p. So, let us assume that $l \neq 0$ on a neighbourhood of a point p. From (3.15) and (2.7), we get

$$g(\phi X, lX) = -g(X, \phi lX) = -g(\phi X, lX) \Rightarrow g(\phi X, lX) = 0.$$

Therefore, lX is parallel to X for any X orthogonal to ξ. Since, $lX = fX$ for any X orthogonal to ξ. Taking a local orthogonal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \xi\}$ where $-g(e_1,e_1) = g(e_2,e_2) = g(\xi,\xi) = 1$ and e_1, e_2 are orthogonal to ξ. Then, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} Trl &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} g(le_i, e_i) = g(le_1,e_1) + g(le_2,e_2) + g(l\xi,\xi) \\ &= fg(e_1,e_1) + fg(e_2,e_2) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

which proves (i).
For any X, we can write

$$lX = f\phi^2 X. \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.7), (2.9) and (3.18), we have

$$\xi f = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

With the help of equations (3.7) and (3.5), we find

$$lX = SX + (Trl - r/2)\,X + \eta(X)\,(r/2 - 2Trl)\,\xi$$

which gives

$$SX = aX + b\eta(X)\xi \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$a = (f - Trl + r/2), \qquad b = (2Trl - r/2 - f). \tag{3.10}$$

From the second identity of Bianchi, we get

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, \xi, Z) + (\nabla_Y R)(\xi, X, Z) + (\nabla_\xi R)(X, Y, Z) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Employing (3.9) in (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)Z =& g(Y,Z)(aX + b\eta(X)\xi) - g(X,Z)(aY + b\eta(Y)\xi) \\ &+ g(aY + b\eta(Y)\xi, Z)X - g(aX + b\eta(X)\xi, Z)Y \\ &- (r/2)(g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y) \\ =& ag(Y,Z)X + b\eta(X)g(Y,Z)\xi - ag(X,Z)Y - b\eta(Y)g(X,Z)\xi \\ &+ ag(Y,Z)X + b\eta(Y)g(\xi,Z)X - ag(X,Z)Y - b\eta(X)g(\xi,Z)Y \\ &- (r/2)(g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y) \\ =& \{(2a - r/2)g(Y,Z)X + b\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X\} \\ &- \{(2a - r/2)g(X,Z)Y + b\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y\} \\ &+ b\eta(X)g(Y,Z)\xi - b\eta(Y)g(X,Z)\xi \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)Z &= \{(\gamma g(Y,Z) + b\eta(Y)\eta(Z))X - (\gamma g(X,Z) + b\eta(X)\eta(Z))Y\} \\ &+ b\{\eta(X)g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)g(X,Z)\}\xi \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $\gamma = 2a - r/2$. From (3.12) for $Z = \xi$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)\xi =& \{\gamma\eta(Y) + b\eta(Y)\}X - \{\gamma\eta(X) + b\eta(X)\}Y \\ &+ b\{\eta(X)\eta(Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(X)\}\xi \\ =& (\gamma + b)(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) \end{aligned}$$

switching the values of b and γ in the above equation, we have

$$R(X,Y)\xi = f(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y). \tag{3.13}$$

Using (3.13), we obtain

$$R(Y,\xi)\xi = f(Y - \eta(Y)\xi) \tag{A}$$

$$R(\nabla_X Y, \xi)\xi = f(\nabla_X Y - \eta(\nabla_X Y)\xi) \tag{B}$$

$$R(Y, \nabla_X \xi)\xi = f(\eta(\nabla_X \xi)Y - \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi) \tag{C}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(Y,\xi)\nabla_X \xi =& \{\gamma\eta(\nabla_X \xi) + b\eta(\nabla_X \xi)\}Y - \{\gamma g(Y, \nabla_X \xi) + b\eta(Y)\eta(\nabla_X \xi)\}\xi \\ &+ b\{\eta(Y)\eta(\nabla_X \xi) - g(Y, \nabla_X \xi)\}\xi \end{aligned} \tag{D}$$

From (A), we also have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nabla_X R)(Y,\xi,\xi) + R(\nabla_X Y,\xi)\xi + R(Y,\nabla_X\xi)\xi + R(Y,\xi)\nabla_X\xi \\ &= (Xf)(Y-\eta(Y)\xi) + f\{\nabla_X Y - ((\nabla_X\eta)Y)\xi - \eta(\nabla_X Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_X\xi\}\end{aligned} \tag{E}$$

Hence for $X,\ Y$ orthogonal to ξ, we get from (B), (C), (D) and (E)

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y,\xi,\xi) + f(\nabla_X Y) = (Xf)Y + f(\nabla_X Y)$$

this implies that

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y,\xi,\xi) = (Xf)Y. \tag{F}$$

Applying $Z=\xi$ in equation (3.11), we get

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y,\xi,\xi) + (\nabla_Y R)(\xi,X,\xi) + (\nabla_\xi R)(X,Y,\xi) = 0 \tag{G}$$

From (3.13), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nabla_\xi R)(X,Y,\xi) + R(\nabla_\xi X,Y)\xi + R(X,\nabla_\xi Y)\xi + R(X,Y)\nabla_\xi\xi \\ &= (\xi f)\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\} + f\{((\nabla_\xi\eta)Y)X - ((\nabla_\xi\eta)X)Y\} \\ &+ f\{\eta(\nabla_\xi Y)X + \eta(Y)\nabla_\xi X - \eta(\nabla_\xi X)Y - \eta(X)\nabla_\xi Y\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Using (2.8) and (3.8) in (3.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nabla_\xi R)(X,Y,\xi) + R(\nabla_\xi X,Y,\xi) + R(X,\nabla_\xi Y,\xi) \\ &= f\{\eta(Y)\nabla_\xi X - \eta(\nabla_\xi X)Y + \eta(\nabla_\xi Y)X - \eta(X)\nabla_\xi Y\} \\ &+ f\{((\nabla_\xi\eta)Y)X - ((\nabla_\xi\eta)X)Y\} \\ &= R(\nabla_\xi X,Y,\xi) + R(X,\nabla_\xi Y,\xi) + f(g(Y,\nabla_\xi\xi)X - g(X,\nabla_\xi\xi)Y)\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(\nabla_\xi R)(X,Y,\xi) = 0. \tag{H}$$

From (H) and (G), we find that $(\nabla_X R)(Y,\xi,\xi) = (\nabla_Y R)(X,\xi,\xi)$ and by the use of (F) this implies, $(Xf)Y = (Yf)X$, for X and Y orthogonal to ξ. Therefore $Xf = 0$, for X orthogonal to ξ, but $\xi f = 0$, so the function f is constant everywhere on M^3 and we reached at the end of the proof. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let $M^3(\phi,\xi,\eta,g)$ be a paracontact metric manifold with $S\phi = \phi S$, then we have*

(i) $l\phi = \phi l$.
(ii) $l = h^2 - \phi^2$.
(iii) $\xi Trl = 0$.

Proof. $S\phi = \phi S$ and (3.5) yields

$$\phi l = l\phi \tag{3.15}$$

Further, using (3.15), (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain that

$$l = h^2 - \phi^2 \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\xi h &= -\phi + h^2\phi - \phi l \\ &= -\phi + h^2\phi - \phi(h^2 - \phi^2) \\ &= -\phi + h^2\phi - \phi hh + \phi^3 \\ &= h^2\phi + h\phi h \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\nabla_\xi h = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

We now differentiate equation (3.16) with respect to ξ and use equations (2.9) and (3.17), and find that

$$\nabla_\xi l = 0 \Rightarrow \xi Trl = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

This ends the proof. □

Remark 1. Taking $l = 0$ everywhere, and using (2.13), (3.4) and (3.5), we get $R(X,Y)\xi = 0$. This together with the theorem B of [2] gives that, M^3 is flat.

Theorem 3.3. *If $M^3(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a paracontact metric manifold, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *M^3 is η-Einstein.*
(ii) *$S\phi = \phi S$.*
(iii) *ξ belongs to the k-nullity distribution.*

Proof. **(i)⇒(ii).**
Let M^3 be η-Einstein that is $S = aI + b\eta\otimes\xi$. Therefore $S\phi = a\phi + b(\eta\circ\phi)\otimes\xi = a\phi$. Also, $\phi S = a\phi + b\eta\otimes\phi\xi = a\phi$. Hence $S\phi = \phi S$.
(ii)⇒(iii).
Let $S\phi = \phi S$. Then, we have from (3.13)

$$R(X,Y)\xi = f(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$$

By the theorem 3.1 we have $f = \text{constant} = k$ (say), therefore,

$$R(X,Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$$

this implies that ξ belongs to the k-nullity distribution.
(iii)⇒(i).
Let ξ belongs to the k-nullity distribution. Then,

$$R(X,Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) \tag{3.19}$$

where k is a constant.
Contracting (3.19) with respect to X, we have

$$Ric\,(Y,\xi) = k\,(3\eta\,(Y) - \eta\,(Y)) = k\,(2\eta\,(Y)) = 2k\eta\,(Y)$$

that is,

$$S\xi = 2k\xi$$

and so from (2.13), we find

$$R\,(X,Y)\,\xi = \eta\,(Y)\,SX - \eta\,(X)\,SY + (2k - r/2)\,(\eta\,(Y)\,X - \eta\,(X)\,Y)\,. \quad (3.20)$$

Comparing (3.19) and (3.20), we get

$$\eta\,(Y)\,\{SX + (k - r/2)\,X\} = \eta\,(X)\,\{SY + (k - r/2)\,Y\}\,.$$

Taking Y orthogonal to ξ and $X = \xi$, we have

$$SY = (r/2 - k)\,Y$$

and so for any Z,

$$SZ = (r/2 - k)\,Z + (k - r/2)\,\eta\,(Z)\,\xi.$$

This implies that $S = aI + b\eta \otimes \xi$, where $a = r/2 - k$ and $b = k - r/2$.
Therefore M^3 is η-Einstein. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

4. Torse forming vector fields

Definition 4.1. A vector field U defined by $g(X,U) = u(X)$ for any $X \in \Gamma(TM^3)$ is said to be torse forming vector field [16] (see also [10, 13]) if

$$(\nabla_X u)(Y) = sg(X,Y) + \alpha(X)u(Y), \quad (4.1)$$

where s and α are called the *conformal scalar* and the *generating form* of U, respectively.

A torse forming vector field U is called

- *recurrent* or *parallel*, if $s = 0$,
- *concircular*, if the generating form α is a gradient,
- *convergent*, if it is concircular and $s = \text{const.}\exp(\alpha)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M^3(\phi,\xi,\eta,g)$ be a paracontact metric manifold with $S\phi = \phi S$. Then the unit torse forming vector field in M^3 is concircular.*

Proof. For a unit torse forming vector field $\hat{U}$ corresponding to U, if we define $g(X,\hat{U}) = V(X)$, then

$$V(X) = u(X)/\sqrt{u(U)}. \quad (4.2)$$

From (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$(\nabla_X V)(Y) = \mu g(X,Y) + \alpha(X)V(Y) \quad (4.3)$$

where $\mu = s/\sqrt{u(U)}$. Using $Y = \hat{U}$ and $V(\hat{U}) = 1$, equation (4.3) gives

$$\alpha(X) = -\mu V(X) \tag{4.4}$$

and hence (4.3) can be expressed in the following form

$$(\nabla_X V)(Y) = \mu\big\{g(X,Y) - V(X)V(Y)\big\} \tag{4.5}$$

which shows that V is closed. Now differentiating (4.5) covariantly and using the Ricci identity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V(R(X,Y)Z) =&(Y\mu)\big\{g(X,Z) - V(X)V(Z)\big\} - (X\mu)\big\{g(Y,Z) - V(Y)V(Z)\big\} \\ &+\mu^2\big\{g(X,Z)V(Y) - g(Y,Z)V(X)\big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By the use of the theorem 3.1, equations (3.13), (2.4) and (4.2), we have from (4.6)

$$\big\{\eta(X) - \eta(\hat{U})V(X)\big\}\big\{f + (\hat{U}\mu) + \mu^2\big\} = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

which gives

$$\big\{\eta(X) - \eta(\hat{U})V(X)\big\} = 0 \tag{I}$$

or

$$\big\{f + (\hat{U}\mu) + \mu^2\big\} = 0. \tag{II}$$

If (I) holds, then putting $X = \xi$ in (I), we have $\eta(\hat{U}) = \pm 1$. This implies that

$$\eta(X) = \pm V(X) \tag{4.8}$$

From (2.8), (4.5) and (4.8), we have $\mu = \pm A(\text{constant})$. Therefore $\alpha(X) = \mp AV(X)$. Hence the vector field $\hat{U}$ is concircular.
If (II) holds, then $\big\{\eta(X) - \eta(\hat{U})V(X)\big\} \neq 0$. From (4.6), we have

$$-g(SX,\hat{U}) = (X\mu) + (\hat{U}\mu)V(X) + 2\mu^2 V(X). \tag{4.9}$$

Putting $X = \xi$ and using (3.4) in (4.9), we have

$$\xi\mu = -(\mu^2 + f)\eta(\hat{U}). \tag{4.10}$$

In view of (4.10) and $V(\xi) = \eta(\hat{U})$ equation (4.6) yields for $Y = Z = \xi$,

$$X\mu = -(\mu^2 + f)V(X). \tag{4.11}$$

From (4.4) and (4.11), we get

$$Y\alpha(X) = (\mu^2 + f)V(X)V(Y) - \mu(YV(X)). \tag{a}$$

We can also obtain

$$X\alpha(Y) = (\mu^2 + f)V(Y)V(X) - \mu(XV(Y)) \tag{b}$$

and

$$\alpha([X,Y]) = -\mu V([X,Y]). \tag{4.12}$$

From (a), (b) and (4.12), we have

$$d\alpha(X,Y) = -\mu((dV)(X,Y))$$

which implies that $\hat{U}$ is concircular. These completes the proof of the theorem. □

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their gratitude to D. E. Blair and R. Sharma for helpful comments and remarks in preparing this article.

References

[1] BLAIR, D. E.: *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics 203, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
[2] BLAIR, D. E.: *Two remarks on contact metric structures*, Tôhoku Math. J., **29**(1977), 319-324.
[3] BLAIR, D. E.—KOUFOGIORGOS, T.—SHARMA, R.: *A classification of 3-dimensional contact metric manifolds with $Q\phi = \phi Q$*, Ködai Math. J., **13**(1990), 391-401.
[4] DACKO, P.: *On almost para-cosymplectic manifolds*,Tsukuba J. Math., **28**(1)(2004),193-213.
[5] DE, U. C.—PATHAK, G.: *Torse forming vector field in a Kenmotsu manifold*, An. St. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza", Iaşi, s.I a, Matematică, f.2, Tomul **49**(2003), 257-264.
[6] ISHII, Y. *On conharmonic transformations*, Tensor N.S, **7**(1957), 73-80.
[7] KANEYUKI, S.— KONZAI, M.: *Paracomplex structure and affine symmetric spaces*, Tokyo J. Math., **8**(1985), 301-318.
[8] KANEYUKI, S. —WILLAMS, F. L.: *Almost paracontact and parahodge structures on manifolds*, Nagoya Math. J., **99**(1985), 173-187.
[9] MANEV, M.—STAIKOVA, M.: *On almost paracontact Riemannian manifolds of type (n,n)*, J. Geom., **72**(2001), 108-114.
[10] MIHAI, A.—MIHAI, I.: *Torse forming vector fields and exterior concurrent vector fields on Riemannian manifolds and applications*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **73**(2013), 200-208.
[11] NAKOVA, G.—ZAMKOVOY, S: *Almost paracontact manifolds*, arXiv:0806.3859v2, 2007.
[12] SATO, I.: *On a structure similar to almost contact structures*, Tensor N. S., **30**(1976), 219-224.
[13] SCHOUTEN, J. A.: *Ricci Calculus: An Introduction to tensor analysis and geometrical applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1954.
[14] TANNO, S.: *Ricci curvatures of contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J., **40**(1988), 441-448.
[15] YANO, K.: *Concircular geometry IIV*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, **16**:195-200, 354-360, 442- 448, 505-511, 1940.
[16] YANO, K.: *On torse forming direction in a Riemannian space*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, **20**(1944), 340345.
[17] ZAMKOVOY, S.: *Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., **36**(2009), 37-60.

* Department of Mathematics
D. D. U. Gorakhpur University
Gorakhpur-273009
Uttar Pradesh
INDIA
E-mail address: ksriddu22@gmail.com

** Department of Mathematics
Central University of Himachal Pradesh
Dharamshala - 176215
Himachal Pradesh
INDIA
E-mail address: sachink.ddumath@gmail.com